Editorial

In the vast expanse of academic exploration, the domain of colonial knowledge stands as a labyrinth of interwoven narratives, waiting to be unravelled by the discerning minds of scholars. The Fourth volume of the Netaji Subhas Open University Journal of Social Sciences serves as a profound testament to the fervour and dedication with which scholars have delved into the depths of colonial knowledge systems, sparking illuminating conversations that resonate across disciplines.

The journey into the intricacies of colonial knowledge begins with a reflection on the historical ripples that courses through disciplines such as history, political science, sociology, and Indology. Scholars have tirelessly engaged in the meticulous study of the colonial knowledge system, not merely to decode the agencies of power but to fathom the intricate relationship between reproduction, reaction, accomplishment, and comprehensibility of this formidable body of knowledge.

The analytical category of knowledge has undergone a paradigm shift, witnessing substantial interpolations, and the academic space has become a crucible for lively interlocutions and arguments. Esteemed scholars like Bernard Cohn, Nicholas Dirks, Gyatri Chakravorty Spivak, Ranajit Guha, and C. A. Bayly have played pivotal roles in reshaping our understanding of colonial knowledge.

In this vibrant arena of study, the focus has expanded beyond the traditional examination of power relations, extending to the alternative bodies of knowledge making. The objectives of our journal echo this shift, aiming to undertake contextualized studies that consider constructed and situated conditions, providing flexible, openended, and multidimensional insights. We are driven by the desire to capture those latent bodies that, though contributes to knowledge, had for years remained on the peripheries of colonial discourse.

The research questions posed in this volume serve as beacons guiding us through the labyrinth of colonial knowledge:

- 1. How organized were the constructions of colonial knowledge?
- 2. How consistent and understandable are such constructions in colonial India?
- 3. How was knowledge reproduced and emblematized in social interfaces?
- 4. What kind of power relations were shared by these edifices of knowledge?
- 5. Who were the producers of such knowledge?
- 6. Is knowledge solely produced by imperial agencies, or did indigenous communities also play a role?
- 7. What was the point of interface between these two bodies of knowledge making?

8. Was it a hegemonic edifice of powerful knowledge making, or did parallel streams of indigenous knowledge making exist?

The sub-themes further enrich our exploration, spanning the role of learned societies, strategies of colonial knowledge creation and dissemination, interpolation of the marginal, vernacular mediums of communication, social and religious movements, agencies of control over colonial knowledge, and the ascendency of colonial subjects.

The richness of this volume is illuminated by the array of thought-provoking articles curated from a diverse range of scholars. Md. Siddique Hossain delves into the impact of colonial policies on India's indigenous

academic institutions, while Krishnokoli Hazra navigates the colonial construction of 'tribal' identity through W.W. Hunter's Annals of Rural Bengal.

Soumitra Sarkar undertakes a sociological analysis of the transformation of Indian society through colonial discourse. Pallab Somaddar explores the organized colonial mentality and its impact, and Paramita Mukherjee and Minara Yeasmin delves into the transition of knowledge and curriculum from colonial Indian society to the 75th year of Indian independence.

Saeed Anowar looks beyond the social reform movements to their influence on the knowledge dynamics in the colonial society. Phunstog Angmo investigates the politics of trade in Ladakh between colonial powers and the Dogras in the 19th century. Authors like Sreeparna Chakraborty, Md Asadullah and Minara Yeasmin, explore the changing profile of tribal women's property rights, highlighting the negotiation between majestic agencies and indigenous knowledge systems. Srabanti Chaudhuri questions the stereotyped version of a 'muslim' girl in colonial india.

The volume also welcomes two book reviews-one by PhD scholar Moniruna Debnath and the other by NSOU faculty Manoj Kumar Haldar.

This compilation of scholarly endeavors is a testament to the diverse and nuanced perspectives that contribute to our understanding of colonial knowledge. As we embark on this intellectual journey, we commit to continuing these august endeavors, pushing the boundaries of knowledge exploration in the days to come. The Netaji Subhas Open University Journal of Social Sciences stands as a beacon, guiding scholars toward the undiscovered realms of societal understanding. In the spirit of scholarly camaraderie and intellectual pursuit, we invite our readers to immerse themselves in the rich tapestry of colonial knowledge unravelled within the pages of this volume as well as other related themes.

We shall not stop here; our quest for knowledge continues.

Editors : Ritu Mathur Mitra Professor, Department of History School of Social Sciences Netaji Subhas Open University

Srabanti Choudhuri Assistant Professor of Sociology School of Social Sciences Netaji Subhas Open University